Usefulness of Color Doppler Ultrasonography in the Risk Stratification of Thyroid Nodules
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Abstract

Introduction: Thyroid ultrasound (US) is crucial for clinical decision in the management of thyroid nodules. In this cross-sectional study, we aimed to test if the evaluation of thyroid nodules’ vascularization could improve the risk stratification ability of the American College of Radiology (ACR) TI-RADS classification system. Methods: A total of 873 thyroid nodules undergoing fine-needle aspiration were classified according to ACR TI-RADS US classification. Three types of vascularization were identified: type 0, no vascular signals; type 1, peripheral vascular signals; type 2, peripheral and intranodular vascular signals. Cytology specimens were evaluated conforming to the Italian Reporting System for Thyroid Cytology, and TIR3b, TIR4, and TIR5 were defined as high risk for malignancy. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and the areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC-AUC) for high-risk cytology categories were calculated. Results: The 3 vascular patterns were differently distributed within the cytology categories: 52.4% of TIR1c, 15.9% of TIR2, 5.9% of TIR3a, 6.7% of TIR3b, 12.5% of TIR4, and 28.9% of TIR5 nodules had no vascular signals ($p < 0.001$). Nodule vascularity alone was not associated with a higher risk of malignant cytology (OR [95% CI] 0.75 [0.43–1.32], $p = 0.32$), without differences between peripheral (OR [95% CI] 0.65 [0.35–1.20]) and intranodular (OR [95% CI] 0.88 [0.48–1.62]) vascularization ($p = 0.22$). The ROC-AUC (95% CI) for the diagnosis of malignant cytology was similar when considering TI-RADS classification alone (0.736 [0.684–0.786]) and when considering TI-RADS classification plus the presence/absence of vascular signals (0.736 [0.683–0.789], $p$ value for differences between the ROC-AUCs: 0.91). Among TR1, TR2, and TR3 TI-RADS classes, no nodules without vascular signals showed a malignant cytology, allowing the identification of nodules with benign cytology with 100% specificity within these US classes. Conclusions: Color Doppler study of thyroid nodules does not improve the risk stratification ability of the ACR TI-RADS US classification system.
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Introduction

Thyroid nodules affect up to two-thirds of the general population, with 7–15% being thyroid cancers. The number of thyroid nodules’ diagnoses is constantly increasing due to the fortuitous findings during imaging procedures performed for non-thyroidal disorders. Together with the relatively low prevalence of malignant cancers in thyroid nodules, this calls for a correct malignancy risk stratification of the nodules to avoid unnecessary invasive procedures and/or surgery. Thyroid ultrasound (US) examination represents the gold standard for the stratification of thyroid lesions, determining the need for fine-needle aspiration (FNA). An improper use of FNA has the risk of increasing healthcare expenditures and even of inappropriate referring patients to surgery in case of indeterminate cytology [1]. The presence and pattern of nodular vascular signs are often considered among the US features to discriminate benign from malignant nodules [2, 3]. Despite this widespread credit, there are few data supporting the usefulness of examining thyroid nodules’ vascularization for improving the risk stratification of thyroid nodules [4, 5]. In a previous study, we showed that the TI-RADS US classification system developed by the American College of Radiology (ACR) in 2017 has a better performance in discriminating nodules that are more likely to have high-risk cytological results compared to other US classification systems [6]. However, the ACR TI-RADS system does not consider color Doppler signs because of its unclear value in differentiating cancer from benign nodules [7, 8]. In the present study, we aim to test whether the evaluation of thyroid nodules’ vascularization can improve the risk stratification ability of the ACR TI-RADS classification system.

Subjects and Methods

The protocol of this cross-sectional study has been previously fully described [6]. Briefly, data about US features and vascularity of all nodules undergoing FNA from January 2015 to May 2016 at the Unit of Endocrinology and Diabetes of Campus Bio-Medico University of Rome were retrieved from medical records. Thyroid US with real-time color Doppler was performed at a frequency range of 10–12 MHz on a MyLab 50 (Esaote, Genova, Italy). As this is an observational real-world study, nodules were triaged for biopsy by clinicians in charge of the patients. Therefore, all FNAs were performed based on an impartial clinical indication, independent from the study. For this analysis, we retrospectively classified nodules according to ACR TI-RADS risk stratification criteria as follows: based on the description retrieved from medical records, a yes or no answer for each of the features derived from the ACR guidelines about composition, echogenicity, shape, margin, and echogenic foci was chosen by an investigator blinded to cytological results. Scores and categories were then calculated according to the ACR guidelines [8]. The vascularity pattern, in detail, was evaluated using sagittal and transverse scans according to the classification system proposed by Papini et al. [9] which includes 3 types of vascularity: type 0, no vascular signals; type 1, peripheral vascular signals; type 2, peripheral and intralobular vascular signals. Cytology specimens were evaluated by expert cytopathologists at the Unit of Pathology conforming to the Italian Reporting System for Thyroid Cytology [10] as follows: TIR1 (non-diagnostic), TIR1c (non-diagnostic/cystic), TIR2 (non-malignant/benign), TIR3a (low-risk indeterminate lesion), TIR3b (high-risk indeterminate lesion), TIR4 (suspicious of malignancy), or TIR5 (malignant). Nodules with TIR1 cytology were excluded from the study. Nodules with TIR1c cytology were included in the study and were considered clinically non-malignant/benign. Cyst fluid may yield only macrophages, but the risk of malignancy is low for these lesions if they are simple and under 3 cm. For this reason, these cases are separated from inadequate specimens obtained from solid lesions and are reported as non-diagnostic followed by the subcategory “cyst fluid only.” In the proper clinical setting (e.g., US evidence of a simple, unilocular cyst), these specimens may be considered clinically adequate, even though they are reported as non-diagnostic [11]. In case of disagreement, definitive reporting was achieved by mutual consensus. A preliminary analysis of 251 nodules with definitive pathology diagnosis served as an internal validation to confirm the significant risk of malignancy associated with TIR3b, TIR4, and TIR5 categories (prevalence of cancer within each category: 68.2%, 82.4%, and 98.3%, respectively) and has already previously been reported [6].

Statistical Analysis

Values are expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) or medians (25–75th percentiles) for continuous variables and as proportions for categorical variables. Shapiro-Wilk normality test was used to assess the normality of continuous variables’ distributions (variables with Shapiro-Wilk statistic <0.9; p values <0.05 were considered non-normally distributed). Groups were compared by analysis of variance, Kruskal-Wallis, χ², and Fisher’s exact test depending on distribution. Binary logistic regression was used to evaluate the odds ratios (OR) of malignant cytology based on 1 or more predictors, and the areas under the receiving operator characteristic (ROC) curves (AUC), or C-index, of regression models were tested for equality [12]. In particular, to test whether the information about vascular patterns improves the diagnostic accuracy of TI-RADS, the ROC-AUC of logistic regression models having TI-RADS classes alone or both TI-RADS classes and vascular patterns as predictors were compared. Non-parametric variables were natural log-transformed before testing in the model. As previously reported, the binary dependent variable “high-risk cytology for malignancy” was defined for cytological categories TIR3b, TIR4, and TIR5, while cytological categories TIR1c, TIR2, and TIR3a identified nodules with low-risk cytology. Sensitivity and specificity of TR1, TR2, and TR3 US TI-RADS classes for low-risk cytology and of TR4 and TR5 classes for high-risk cytology, with and without information about vascularization, were calculated. Statistical significance was set as p < 0.05. Stata/IC 12.1 software (StataCorp) was used for data analysis.
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Population and Nodules' Features

A total of 1,170 nodules undergoing FNA were screened for entering in the study. Of these, 218 had no information about vascularization and were excluded from this analysis. Of the remaining 952 nodules, 79 had a non-diagnostic cytology and were also excluded. Therefore, 873 nodules (size in the largest diameter: 14 [10–20] mm) from 739 patients (80% females; mean age: 55.3 ± 13.4 years) were included in the analysis, 132 (15.1%) showing no vascular signs, 410 (47.0%) showing the vascular pattern 1, and 331 (37.9%) showing the vascular pattern 2.

Nodules showing no vascular signs were smaller in the largest diameter (11 [8–16] mm) compared to nodules showing both peripheral (14 [10–20] mm, p < 0.001) and peripheral and intranodular vascular patterns (15 [10–22] mm, p < 0.001), with no difference in nodule size between the two vascular pattern categories (p = 0.102). Ninety-one nodules (10.4%) had a cytology diagnosis within the high-risk for malignancy categories TIR3b, TIR4, and TIR5 (see online suppl. Table S1 [see online Supplementary Materials] for the cytology categories and online suppl. Table S2 for TI-RADS classes of the 873 nodules). The three vascular patterns were differently distributed within the cytology categories. Specifically, 52.4% of TIR1c, 15.9% of TIR2, 5.9% of TIR3a, 6.7% of TIR3b, 12.5% of TIR4, and 28.9% of TIR5 nodules had no vascular signs (p < 0.001; Table 1). Similarly, vascular patterns were also differently distributed within the different ultrasonographic TI-RADS categories (p < 0.001; Table 2).

Vascularization Features and Risk of Malignant Cytology

In the entire sample, the presence of vascular signals was not associated with a higher risk of malignant cytology (OR 0.75 [0.43–1.32], p = 0.32), without differences between peripheral (OR [95% CI] 0.65 [0.35–1.20]) and intranodular (OR [95% CI] 0.88 [0.48–1.62]) vascularization (p value for difference of regression coefficients: 0.22). These results did not significantly change after adjusting for nodule size. Accordingly, the ROC-AUC (95% CI) for the diagnosis of malignant cytology was similar when considering the TI-RADS classification alone (0.736 [0.684–0.786]) and when considering the TI-RADS classification plus the presence/absence of vascular signs.

Table 1. Distribution of the color Doppler ultrasonographic patterns within the different cytology categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vascular pattern</th>
<th>Cytology, n (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIR1c (size 19 [11–36])</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIR2 (size 14 [10–20])</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIR3a (size 16 [10–21])</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIR3b (size 13 [9–17])</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIR4 (size 10 [7–18])</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIR5 (size 9 [7–12])</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Size of nodules in the largest diameter within each category is reported in millimeters as median [25–75th percentile].

Table 2. Distribution of the color Doppler ultrasonographic patterns within the different ultrasonographic TI-RADS categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vascular classification</th>
<th>TI-RADS classification, n (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>24 (43.6)</td>
<td>19 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21 (38.2)</td>
<td>71 (40.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 (18.2)</td>
<td>85 (48.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Size of nodules in the largest diameter within each category is reported in millimeters as median [25–75th percentile].
Table 3. Sensitivity and specificity for low-risk cytology of the low-risk ultrasonographic TI-RADS classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TI-RADS classes</th>
<th>Not considering vascularization</th>
<th>Considering vascularization</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sensitivity, %</td>
<td>specificity, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR1</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR2</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR3</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC-ROC</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Sensitivity and specificity for high-risk cytology of the high-risk ultrasonographic TI-RADS classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TI-RADS classes</th>
<th>Not considering vascularization</th>
<th>Considering vascularization</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sensitivity, %</td>
<td>specificity, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR4</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC-ROC</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0.736 [0.683–0.789], p for differences between the ROC-AUC 0.909).

Table 3 shows the sensitivity and specificity for benign cytology of TR1, TR2, and TR3 US TI-RADS classes and Table 4 for malignant cytology of TR4 and TR5 classes, with and without information about vascularization. No nodules without vascular signs within US classes TR1, TR2, and TR3 showed a malignant cytology. Therefore, the information about the absence of vascularization in nodules within TR1, TR2, or TR3 classes allowed the identification of nodules with benign cytology with 100% specificity. The improvement of specificity was particularly relevant for nodules within the TR class 3, moving from 87.9 to 100%. On the contrary, the presence of vascular signs in nodules within the TR classes 4 and 5 only slightly improved the specificity for malignancy (from 52.9 to 59.6% and from 93.7 to 94.9% for TR4 and TR5 classes, respectively), at the expense of a relevant reduction in sensitivity (from 44 to 37.4% and from 38.5 to 26.4%, respectively). This resulted in a ROC-AUC of 0.645 for the identification of nodules with high-risk cytology for the TR4/TR5 test (being within the TR4 or the TR5 classes) and of 0.591 for the TR4/TR5 plus vascular sign test (being within the TR4 or TR5 classes and showing vascular signs at the Doppler examination; p value for ROC-AUC difference = 0.011).

**Discussion**

This study shows that information about a vascular signal does not improve the overall accuracy of the ACR TI-RADS US classification for thyroid nodules risk stratification. In particular, the presence of a vascular signal was not associated with a significant increase in the risk of malignant cytology. This may be due to US technology development with new instruments detecting blood flow imaging of vessels with very small diameter, therefore increasing the prevalence of vascularized nodules, as confirmed in our records where the great majority (84.9%) of nodules shows signs of vascularization.

Our data contribute to a debated topic, as different previous studies showed controversial results in terms of the predictive value for malignancy of nodule vascularization. Some studies showed that thyroid cancers more frequently have a central and peripheral vascularization on Doppler US, while benign nodules less frequently present vascular signs or present a mild peripheral blood flow [13, 14]. This was also suggested in a meta-analysis by Brito et al. [15], showing that the odds of having intranodular blood flow in cancers are significantly higher than the odds of having such a sign in benign nodules (diagnostic OR 1.8 [1.5–2.2]), even though other US features showed higher diagnostic accuracy. Another meta-analysis including a total of 1,048 nodules with available...
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Data about color Doppler signs showed that nodules with intranodular blood flow are 4 times more likely of being cancers than nodules without intranodular blood flow [16]. However, Khadra et al. [17] showed in another recent meta-analysis that an enhanced vascularization on Doppler sonography is not predictive of malignancy in all nodules, but may help in the risk stratification of nodules with suspicious US features. On the contrary, we found that information about vascularity specifically in nodules with high-suspicion US features (TR4 and TR5) significantly reduced the ROC-AUC of ACR TI-RADS for the identification of malignant nodules, due to a significant reduction of its sensitivity. Of note, our study includes a large sample of 873 nodules in a single study and from a single center, without risk of heterogeneity in vascularity description and cytological assessment.

Our data agree with previous findings from Shin et al. [18], who surprisingly showed that nodules with central vascularization were more frequently benign compared to avascular nodules, also after adjustment for nodule dimension. Other recent studies also showed that more than 50% of nodules with an increased vascularization were histologically benign and that avascular nodules with suspicious characteristics may hide thyroid cancers [4, 5]. Accordingly, we found that 18.7% of nodules with high-risk cytology had no vascular signals (vascular pattern 0) and that 37.5% of nodules with low-risk cytology had intranodular vascular signs (vascular pattern 2). It has been hypothesized that the contrasting results between different studies and the different proportion of avascular malignant nodules in some studies, such as ours, may be due to a different relative prevalence of papillary and follicular neoplasms [5, 19]. While follicular thyroid cancers are characterized by a rich intranodular vascularization, papillary thyroid cancers are rich in lymphatic vessels, but poorer in blood vessels when compared to follicular cancers [20].

Our cross-sectional analysis also suggests that there may be little benefit in the description of nodule vascularization for nodules belonging to TR1, TR2, and TR3 US TI-RADS classes. In the absence of vascular signs, nodules within these classes never showed a high-risk cytology, and further evaluation may, therefore, be avoided. However, these nodules represent only a small percentage (6% in our selected records) of all thyroid nodules.

While we showed that traditional evaluation of nodule vascularization is of limited value, improvements in the risk stratification may be achieved by next-generation US machines. It is reasonable to suppose that future studies will be based on data obtained from 3-dimensional power Doppler ultrasound (PDUS) imaging and 3-dimensional contrast-enhancement ultrasound (CEUS) to detect nodules at major risk to be thyroid cancers. These techniques can evaluate different US characteristics at different levels of spatial resolution. CEUS can distinguish macro- and microvasculature of thyroid nodules thanks to the backscatter of the US waves produced after the injection of a contrast composed of microbubbles. PDUS, on the contrary, can identify the precise amount of blood flow in well-formed blood vessels, even if with poor resolution. The use of these new techniques could give additional information about the quality of nodular vascularization. Blood vessels with an irregular or turbulent course could be the consequence of a chaotic angiogenetic process which is generally associated with malignant proliferation [21].

We acknowledge that our study is limited by a possible selection bias due to the evaluation of nodules already selected for FNA and by the absence of data about thyroid function tests and/or thyroid scintigraphy. For this reason we cannot exclude the presence of functioning adenomas among the nodules we included in the analysis, especially among nodules within the TIR3a category and with intranodular vascular signs. Furthermore, the final diagnoses were based on the cytopathology and not on surgical histology, which may cause false-negative and false-positive results. However, the probability of a false diagnosis in TIR2 and TIR5 categories is low at < 3% and < 1%, respectively [10], as compared to histopathology, and we previously confirmed the significant risk of malignancy associated with TIR3b, TIR4, and TIR5 categories in our sample [6]. Strengths of this study include the large sample size and the homogenous evaluation of all US, color Doppler, and cytological features from a single high-volume thyroid center.

In conclusion, our study shows that the color Doppler study of thyroid nodules for the evaluation of their vascularization does not improve the risk stratification ability of the ACR TI-RADS 2017 US classification system, with only little benefit associated with the exclusion of the risk of malignancy in the minority of nodules without both suspicious US features and vascular signs.
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