Prognostic factors to predict the efficacy of surgical interventions against brain metastasis secondary to thyroid cancer
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Abstract

Introduction: Brain metastasis in differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) is rare (frequency < 1%) and has a poor prognosis. Treatment strategies for brain metastasis are not well established.

Objectives: We conducted a retrospective analysis to identify predictive factors for patient outcomes and verify surgical indications for patients with brain metastasis and DTC.

Methods: The study included 34 patients with pathologically confirmed DTC with brain metastasis from March 2008 to November 2020. The associations between overall survival (OS) and clinical factors were evaluated. Cox regression analysis was used to determine the relationship between clinical factors and OS. To assess the survival benefit of craniotomy, Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was performed for each variable whose statistical significance was determined by Cox regression analysis.

Results: The median OS of the entire patient sample was 11.4 months. Survival was affected by the presence of lung metastasis (P = 0.033) and the number of brain metastases (n > 3) (P = 0.039). Only the subgroup with the number of brain metastases ≤3 showed statistical significance in the subgroup analysis of survival benefit following craniotomy (P = 0.048).

Conclusions: The number of brain metastases and the existence of lung metastasis were regarded more essential than other clinical factors in patients with DTC in this study. Furthermore, craniotomies indicated a survival benefit only when the number of brain metastases was ≤3. This finding could be beneficial in determining surgical indications in thyroid cancer with brain metastasis.

Introduction

Patients with differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) generally have a good prognosis, even in cases of stage IV disease. The 10-year disease-specific survival rate is 82.6%, which is longer than that among patients with other carcinoma types (1). However, 0.15–1.3% of patients with thyroid cancer develop brain metastasis with a median survival time of 4–33 months (2, 3, 4, 5, 6). The treatment options for such patients include surgical resection, stereotactic radiosurgery, and radiotherapy (7).

Surgical resection has been reported as an independent factor associated with better outcomes (8). The major goals of craniotomy for brain metastasis are tumor debulking,
minimizing the vasogenic edema, relieving neurological symptoms, securing local control of lesions, and improving patient survival (OS) (9, 10, 11). Level I evidence suggests that patients with a single brain metastasis have improved outcomes with combined surgical resection and whole-brain radiation therapy (12). However, in practice, treatment modalities are often determined by the patient’s clinical situation.

Circumstances among patients with thyroid cancer brain metastasis (TCBM) can differ widely and have unanticipated effects on treatment. This lack of understanding impedes the determination of an optimal therapeutic strategy for patients with TCBM, particularly for craniotomy. This retrospective cross-sectional study aimed to assess prognostic factors in patients with TCBM and to identify the factors that influence the selection of suitable patients for craniotomy.

Materials and methods

Patients

We included patients in whom DTC with brain metastasis was diagnosed from March 2008 to November 2020 at our institute. Patients with medullary or anaplastic thyroid cancer were excluded from the study. Primary DTC was pathologically confirmed in all study participants. Brain metastasis was evaluated in patients with newly developed neurologic symptoms and diagnostically confirmed through MRI. Data on the following clinical factors were collected: age, sex, time interval between diagnosis of thyroid cancer and brain metastasis, Eastern Cognitive Oncology Group (ECOG) performance score (13), treatment (radioactive iodine (RAI) therapy, tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), or radiotherapy), coexisting distant metastases and their location, and radiologic characteristics of brain metastasis observed on brain MRI (maximum diameter, multiplicity, intratumoral hemorrhage, and number of brain metastases).

Progressive thyroid cancer was preferentially treated with RAI therapy; TKIs, including sorafenib or lenvatinib, were administered when the cumulative RAI dose exceeded 600 mCi. Following the confirmation of brain metastasis, a craniotomy was performed in the following situations: (i) single metastasis with lesion size >1 cm; (ii) multiple metastases with lesion >3 cm in size; or (iii) multiple metastases with severe cerebral edema, or intratumoral hemorrhage, causing neurologic deterioration (14). Adjuvant systemic chemotherapy including TKI and radiotherapy was considered, and treatment was decided based on each patient’s clinical status. The OS was measured from the time when brain metastasis was diagnosed.

Statistical analyses

Age, ECOG performance status, and number of brain metastases were converted into categorical variables to facilitate clinical applications, as described in a previous study (15). A Cox regression model was created to implement univariate and multivariate analyses. Univariate Cox regression analysis was performed to identify statistically significant variables associated with patient survival. These significant variables were analyzed using a multivariate Cox regression analysis. Kaplan–Meier (K–M) survival analysis was performed to verify the statistically significant findings from the univariate and multivariate regression analyses. Finally, a total of four subgroups were created according to the number of brain metastases and coexisting lung metastasis. The survival benefit was assessed using K–M survival analysis in each subgroup based on whether craniotomy was performed. P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using R Statistical Software version 4.1.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Patient demographics

The demographic characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. A total of 34 patients (23 women) were included in the study. The median age at which brain metastasis was detected was 69.1 (range, 39.9–84.6) years. The median interval from initial thyroid cancer diagnosis to discovery of brain metastasis was 121.7 (range, 2.3–381.7) months. Prior to brain metastasis, 13 patients (38.2%) received TKI (sorafenib or lenvatinib), whereas no patients underwent conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy. At the time of brain metastasis, metastases to other organs were detected in 27 patients (79.4%); of these, 25 (73.5%) exhibited lung metastasis, while 16 (47.1%) patients showed bone metastasis and 1 (2.9%) showed liver metastasis. The most common site of brain metastasis was the frontal lobe (19, 55.9%), followed by the cerebellum (11, 32.4%) and temporal lobe (10, 29.4%). The median size of the brain metastasis was 17.8 (range, 2.9–92.1) mm. At the time of brain metastasis diagnosis, 23 patients (65.7%) had more
than 1 intracranial metastasis. Intratumoral hemorrhage was observed in 8 patients (23.5%).

**Clinical factors affecting survival**

The median OS was 11.4 (range, 0.3–155.1) months (Fig. 1). Only coexistence of lung metastasis ($P = 0.002$) and number of brain metastases ($n > 3$) ($P = 0.025$) showed statistically significant values on the univariate Cox regression analysis (Table 2). Age, ECOG, and coexisting distant metastasis have been reported to be significant factors affecting the patient OS but showed no significant relationships in the context of this study ($P = 0.035$). Only the coexisting lung metastasis showed significant differences in survival among distant metastases. Pathologic subtypes (papillary vs non-papillary) and radiologic factors, such as intratumoral hemorrhage or the diameter of the brain metastasis, had no effect on survival among the variables studied. The two significant factors identified in univariate Cox regression analysis, coexisting lung metastasis and the number of brain metastases ($n > 3$), as in multivariate Cox regression analysis. The hazard ratio for OS for each factor is as follows: coexisting lung metastasis, 3.861 (1.118–13.338, $P = 0.033$) and number of brain metastases ($n > 3$), 2.743 (1.055–7.134, $P = 0.039$).

The coexisting lung metastasis, number of brain metastases, and craniotomy were also observed to have statistically significant effects on OS in K–M survival analysis (Fig. 2). Patients with coexisting lung metastasis had a median survival of 6.8 months, compared to 42.5 months for those without lung metastasis ($P = 0.015$). Patients with more than three brain metastases had a median survival of 6.1 months, whereas those with three or fewer brain metastases had a median survival of 21.0 months ($P = 0.020$). Patients who underwent craniotomies had a median survival time of 30.5 months, while those who did not undergo a craniotomy had a median survival time of 6.8 months ($P = 0.035$).

**Craniotomy**

A craniotomy was performed in 12 patients with single ($n = 7$) and multiple ($n = 5$) brain lesions (Table 3). Only
in the subgroup that included patients with the number of brain metastases $\leq 3$, craniotomy showed a statistically significant survival benefit ($P=0.048$) (Fig. 3A). In this subgroup, patients who underwent craniotomy had a median survival of 42.47 months, and those who did not undergo craniotomy had a median survival of 6.83 months. No other subgroups suggested any significant benefits from the craniotomy (Fig. 3B, C and D). In the subgroup of patients with brain metastases $> 3$, the patients who underwent craniotomy showed a median survival of 6.8 months, which was more disadvantageous than the median survival of 7.03 months in patients who did not undergo craniotomy ($P=0.95$).

**Discussion and conclusion**

In this retrospective study, we evaluated the risk factors for TCBM diagnosed in 34 patients from 2008 to 2020 and subsequently analyzed the data of 12 patients who underwent craniotomy. The median OS was 11.4 months, and the statistically significant variables in the Cox regression analysis were the coexisting lung metastasis and the number of brain metastases. However, age and ECOG score, which were reported as risk factors in a previous study, did not exhibit statistical significance in this study (15). Furthermore, when the K–M survival analysis was used to perform subgroup analysis for two variables that were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses for each variable.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Factors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age $&gt; 60$ years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG score $&gt;2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology (non-papillary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior RAI therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coexisting lung metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coexisting distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of BM ($n &gt; 3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum diameter of BM $&gt; 3$ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intratumoral hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^aP < 0.05$.

BM, brain metastases; ECOG, European Clinical Oncology Group; RAI, radioactive iodine.
meaningfully identified through Cox regression analysis, only patients with brain metastases $\leq 3$ demonstrated a clear survival benefit from craniotomy.

There have been various efforts to evaluate the prognosis of brain metastasis. In 1997, Gaspar et al. conducted a recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) of the radiation therapy oncology group (RTOG) studies by analyzing the data of 1200 patients (16). The analysis showed that Karnofsky performance status score, primary site status, age, and status of metastases to other organs were factors that significantly impacted prognostic outcomes in patients with brain metastasis; this was the basis for categorizing patients into classes I, II, and III, with median survival values of 7.1, 4.2, and 2.3 months, respectively (16). In 2012, inheriting previous RPA analysis, a graded prognostic assessment (GPA) system was published by analyzing 1960 patients included in the RTOG database (five randomized RTOG studies: protocol 7916, 8528, 8905, 9104, and 9508) (17). According to the GPA system, patients were classified into four classes based on age, performance status, the number of brain metastases, and extracranial metastases; the OS was as follows: GPA 0–1, 2.6 months; GPA 1.5–2.5, 3.8 months; GPA 3, 6.9 months; and GPA 3.5–4, 11.0 months. Recently, a prognostic prediction system for cancers, including lung cancer, melanoma, breast cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and gastrointestinal cancer, was reported based on diagnosis-specific GPA indices (18), which was later refined according to biomolecular subtypes for some carcinomas (1, 19, 20).

However, these recent changes have not been applied for the prognosis of patients with thyroid cancer. This is probably due to the rarity of brain metastasis in thyroid cancer, which makes analysis difficult.

Although there is clear level 1 evidence indicating radiation therapy after surgical resection for well-controlled primary cancer with a single metastatic brain lesion, it is rare to encounter such an ideal situation in clinical practice (12). The efficacy of surgical treatment in this situation has not yet been objectively proven beneficial to the patient. Bindal et al. demonstrated a statistically significant survival benefit when all tumors were surgically removed in multiple brain metastases, compared to those with residual tumors and a similar median survival to patients with a single metastasis (21). Neurosurgical interventions are advantageous because they can provide immediate results in cases of mass effects, edema, and hemorrhagic presentation (9). In addition, removal of the tumor through craniotomy has the effect of cytoreduction, which allows for a rapid tapering of steroids and less intensive follow-up (14).
Data on the efficacy of targeted agents in brain metastasis of DTC are limited. In two phase III trials (DECISION and SELECT) in RAI-refractory thyroid cancer, sorafenib and lenvatinib have been widely used, showing a clear increase in progression-free survival (22, 23). However, given the rarity of brain metastasis due to DTC, it is unlikely that a prospective study will be conducted, and a few retrospective studies have been published. Shen et al. reported a case of clinical and radiological improvement in a patient with brain metastases from follicular thyroid cancer following treatment with Sorafenib (24). In a study involving 24 patients with brain metastasis from DTC, Gomes-Lima et al. found that the TKI agent significantly increased survival in 12 patients (25). Recently, the effect of selective kinase inhibitors on diverse DTC targets has been reported (26). Variable percentages of DTC patients (2–25%) have been reported to have neurotrophic tropomyosin receptor kinase (NTRK) fusions (27). In a pooled analysis of 1/2 clinical trials, 79% of 24 patients with DTC bearing an NTRK fusion who received larotrectinib demonstrated an objective response (28). Pitoia reported a complete response to larotrectinib in a patient with RAI refractory DTC who had a rapid progression on TKI therapy and the disappearance of multiple brain metastases (29). Additionally, it is known that 5–25% of DTCs contain RET rearrangements (26). In the open-label, phase 1/2 clinical trial, 20 RET-fusion-positive thyroid cancer patients were included, showing an overall response rate (ORR) of 89% (30). With et al. reported a comparable response rate in a selpercatinib study, with an ORR of 79% in the subgroup of patients with RET-positive thyroid cancer (31). In studies on selpercatinib for lung cancer, intracranial efficacy has been illustrated, but efficacy for thyroid cancer has not yet been reported (32). The intracranial efficacy of novel therapeutics is thought to be decisive in determining surgical indications in the future.

This study has several limitations. First, the sample size was small because it consisted of only TCBM patients treated at a single institution. Particularly, the analysis performed in the subgroup that underwent craniotomy should be interpreted with caution, as only 12 patients were included. However, given the rarity of TCBM, it is difficult to obtain sufficient subjects to demonstrate the effectiveness of craniotomy. Therefore, it is believed that there will be a small but clear significance in providing information to clinicians considering craniotomy. Secondly, our results are different from those reported previously. While age and performance status have been reported to affect patient outcomes, we found that these factors did not have a significant effect on our study population (15). Further

Table 3 Characteristics of patients who underwent craniotomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Chief complaint</th>
<th>Coexisting metastatic lesion</th>
<th>Number of BM</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Blood loss</th>
<th>Operative time</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Adjuvant therapy</th>
<th>Overall survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53.57</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>155.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Memory impairment</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.05</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27.51</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Night-sided weakness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AWD, alive with the disease; BM, brain metastasis; DOD, died due to the disease; EOR, extent of resection; GTR, gross total resection; PR, partial resection; STR, subtotal resection; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; WBRT, whole brain radiation therapy.
Figure 3
Survival benefit from craniotomy in each subgroup. (A) When the number of brain metastases (BM) was three or less, craniotomy provided a statistically significant survival benefit ($P = 0.048$). (B) In the presence of more than three BMs, craniotomy showed no survival benefit ($P = 0.95$). (C) In the absence of lung metastasis, craniotomy did not reach statistical significance ($P = 0.53$). (D) Craniotomy did not reach statistical significance in the presence of lung metastasis ($P = 0.15$).

integrative research using a larger study population and a more comprehensive study design, such as meta-analysis, would help validate these findings.

In conclusion, while patients with thyroid cancer have a good prognosis, those with TCBM have a poor prognosis with a short survival period. According to the study findings, the factors affecting prognostic outcomes are not directly analogous to the existing GPA system and coexisting lung metastasis, and the number of brain metastases is thought to be important for patient survival. Furthermore, the number of brain metastases is important in determining craniotomy candidacy and is regarded as the prime clinical factor in determining the therapeutic strategy.
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